| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| | 09/083,198 | BRINGI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Irene Marx | 1651 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | 1: |
| (1) <u>Irene Marx</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Posorske. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 1 March 2007 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) o | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | • |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The priority status of the application was discussed. It we continuation of 08/653,036. The first phrase of the species | as indicated that the PTO records in | dicate that PCT/US97/08907 is a |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate | on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance o | written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| · | | · |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | pplicant/Applicant's Representation | ve Signature – if appropriate) |